Graphene for Terahertz Applications

Philippe Tassin¹, Thomas Koschny¹, Costas M. Soukoulis¹,²

Graphene is a one-atom-thick sheet of carbon atoms arranged in a honeycomb lattice. First obtained by exfoliation of graphite in 2004 (1), graphene has since evolved into a thriving research topic because of its attractive mechanical, thermal, and electrical properties (2–4), particularly the exceptionally high electron mobility. Such properties promise to revolutionize many applications (2–4), ranging from solar cells and light-emitting devices to touch screens, photodetectors (4), microwave transistors (5), and ultrafast lasers (6). We discuss here a number of special qualities of graphene that also make it desirable for devices manipulating terahertz waves.

The single layer of carbon atoms enables device miniaturization down to the atomic length scale. The tunable electrical properties, realized by raising or lowering the Fermi level, allow for unprecedented tunability of electromagnetic structures made of this material. The electric response of a graphene sheet is highly reactive, resulting in the existence of strongly localized, deeply subwavelength plasmons (collective electronic excitations), as well as the reduction of the resonance frequency of resonant structures made of patterned graphene that allows these resonators to be made much smaller than their resonance wavelengths. Also, with optical excitation, it is possible to create an inversion of the whole conical electronic band around the Dirac point up to the pump frequency, which should afford sizable broadband gain from terahertz to optical frequencies.

Although there are abundant estimates from simplified theoretical models and experimental data for the DC properties of graphene from transport measurements, experimental data on the terahertz properties, especially the complex optical sheet conductivity, have become available only in the past few years. These data are essential to assess the potential performance of graphene in its various envisioned applications (7). The sheet resistivity (the real part of the inverse complex sheet conductivity) determines the loss, which relates to the dissipative quality factor of graphene-based metamaterials, as well as the propagation length of surface plasmons. The sheet inductivity (the imaginary part of the inverse complex sheet conductivity) determines the loss, which translates to the saturation frequency—or maximum resonance frequency—of small-scale metamaterial resonators, as well as to the “subwavelengthness” and lateral confinement of the surface plasmons.

We surveyed direct experimental measurements of the terahertz sheet conductivity of graphene found in the literature (see the figure, blue diamonds) (8–11). Select experimental data from transport measurements (green circles) are shown for comparison. Theoretical investigations of potential graphene applications have chosen different, mostly very optimistic, assumptions (red squares) for the sheet conductivity. Notably, the theoretical loss estimates are generally about one order of magnitude below the experimental data. For reasonable carrier densities, these theoretical studies obtain interesting behavior, that is, the sheet resistivity is roughly 100 ohms or smaller (12, 13). Much effort has been directed to producing pristine samples and, indeed, suspended graphene (minimizing disorder and phonons originating from the substrate), cleaned in situ by heating, has shown impressive mobilities exceeding 10³ cm²/V·s, but at the expense of very limited carrier concentrations. On the other hand, large doping levels have been demonstrated by electrolytic gating, but this has led to much higher scattering.

Interestingly, the experimental data line up within a rather narrow corridor (shaded blue), indicating that the variance in carrier concentration roughly matches higher confinement for higher loss in existing graphene samples. However, this observation should not be considered to indicate a particular dependence of the momentum relaxation time on carrier concentration, as the available samples differ substantially from one another. Nevertheless, it illustrates the current discrepancy between the experimentally realizable and the theoretically desired performance.

For metamaterial applications, graphene has to compete with metals. A 30-nm film of gold (7) has an experimentally measured sheet resistivity on the order of 1 ohm [yellow (Au) and gray (Ag) triangles in the figure], two orders of magnitude smaller than the theoretical lower limit of 30 ohms for freestanding graphene at room temperature (14). The miniaturization advantage of graphene is marginal at terahertz frequencies, because the gold film is still much thinner than the free-space wavelength, and other constraints (e.g., minimum area to maintain a useful magnetic moment) require larger unit cells. However, a major drawback of metals is their lack of control over carrier density. Graphene offers a substantial advantage as its properties can be readily tuned by applying a gate voltage.

For plasmonics, the main challenge is the short propagation length in graphene that is on the order of a few surface plasmon wavelengths at best in state-of-the-art experiments. However, tunability and confinement,
in particular, may outweigh this limitation in the terahertz region as there are few alternatives (plasmon confinement on metals is very poor below optical frequencies).

Graphene is a fascinating material for terahertz applications with its strengths in atomic thickness, easy tunability, and high kinetic inductance. The major challenge for these resonant high-frequency applications remains to overcome the dissipative loss, which might be less of a disadvantage for surface plasmonics on graphene than for graphene as a substitute for metals in metamaterials.
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Flashing a Light on the Spatial Organization of Transcription

Colin Rickman1,2 and Wendy A. Bickmore3,3

It is surprising that the question of how transcription occurs in the eukaryotic cell nucleus is still a matter of controversy, given that it is such a basic cellular process. Conventional imaging of transcription sites, RNA polymerase II (Pol II), and active genes suggests that transcription occurs at discrete places in the nucleus, termed transcription factories. What has not been clear is whether these sites are preassembled structures that prefigure function and to which genes must then move to be transcribed, or whether they are self-organizing zones that form at active gene loci during the process of gene expression (1). One problem in resolving these issues has been the lack of information about the formation and stability of transcription factories.

Cisse and colleagues expressed an α-amanitin-resistant form of the Pol II catalytic subunit (RPB1), fused to the photo- switchable fluorescent protein Dendra2, in a human cell line in which endogenous Pol II was destroyed with α-amanitin (2). Photocrosslinking a small subset of the Dendra2–Pol II molecules (see the figure, panel A), their emitted light could be spatially resolved and their molecular position determined with high precision (on the order of tens of nanometers). However, a major limitation of PALM is that all depth (axial) information is lost in the final data set, commonly limiting its application to the study of events at the cell surface. Cisse et al. circumvented this problem by performing PALM in live cells and analyzing both the size and the dynamics of cluster formation. Pair correlation was used to determine the probability of molecules residing at given distances from each other. This demonstrated the presence of Pol II clusters with an estimated size of ~100 nm,

Clustering to transcribe. (A) Cartoon showing four frames taken from a time series of images of Dendra2–Pol II molecules (small circles) in a small genomic region before (green) and after (red) photoswitching. In the third frame from the left, the sudden appearance of a large number of photoswitched molecules in the imaged area indicates the formation of a Pol II cluster. That this does not continue into the fourth frame, even though photoswitching continues generally, indicates cluster dispersal. (B) Graph showing the detection (vertical lines) of Dendra2–Pol II photoswitching events per frame that might be seen from the images in (A); the temporally correlated high detection counts in the third frame indicate cluster formation.
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