Ministop bands in single-defect photonic crystal waveguides
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We numerically study single-defect photonic crystal waveguides obtained from a triangular lattice of air holes in a dielectric background. It is found that, for medium-high air filling ratios, the transmission has very small values in narrow frequency regions lying inside the photonic band gap—the so-called ministop bands. Two types of ministop bands are shown to exist; one of which is due to the multimode nature of the waveguide. Their dependence on the length of the waveguide and on the air filling ratio is presented.
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Photonic band gap (PBG) materials, also known as photonic crystals (PCs), have been extensively studied recently because of their ability to control the propagation of light. A very promising application of PBGs is for improving the performance of waveguides. A photonic crystal waveguide is basically a PC with a linear defect, which allows the propagation of light in a specific direction. PCs provide a superior guiding mechanism with respect to dielectric or metallic waveguides since they are ideally loss-less, because of the PBG properties. Guiding the light without losses, and even through sharp corners using two-dimensional (2D) PCs was studied theoretically and experimentally, both in microwaves and in optical regimes. To eliminate out-of-plane losses in 2D PC structures, one has to use a index-guiding mechanism in the vertical direction.

Detailed numerical studies of straight PC waveguides of different widths, in a high dielectric background, and in air, have revealed that a complete frequency gap, called ministop band (MSB) or mode-gap, exists for the guided modes of the waveguide. The term MSB refers to an anticrossing mechanism between guided modes of different order; whereas the term mode-gap is used for the band splitting of the folded guided mode at the edge of the one-dimensional (1D) Brillouin zone. Indeed, a straight PC waveguide is actually a system with a discrete periodicity along the waveguide’s axis, in which the 1D periodic potential given by the PC may produce mode couplings whenever the Bragg condition is fulfilled. Existence of MSBs has also been experimentally demonstrated by Olvier et al. for waveguides in GaAs-based PC heterostructures. In all of the previous studies, no MSBs were observed for the 2D PC waveguide, which has one row of cylinders missing (single-defect waveguide). We adopt the term MSB to refer both the anticrossing and the band splitting of a folded mode.

The purpose of this work is to investigate the single-defect PC waveguide for a triangular lattice of air holes in a dielectric background, showing the occurrence of MSBs. Their dependence on the length of the waveguide and on the air filling ratio will be presented and connections with the Fabry-Perot modes of the 1D cavity made of the linear defect will be discussed. The dielectric constant of the background is chosen to be 11.56, which corresponds to GaAs at the wavelength $\lambda = 1.55 \mu\text{m}$. The 2D PC has a gap for the TE mode, whose width depends on the air filling ratio $f$. TE is the mode that has the magnetic field parallel to the axes of the air cylinders. One can easily create a waveguide by removing a row of air holes. This structure is shown in Fig. 1, where $a$ is the lattice constant and $w$ is the width of the waveguide. The waveguide has variable length in the dense $\Gamma-K$ direction. The field confinement in the perpendicular $y$ direction ($\Gamma-M$) is provided by 5 PC rows arranged at both sides of the waveguide. Numerical results have been obtained with a 2D finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) method, implemented with Liao absorbing boundary conditions. The numbers 1 and 2 in Fig. 1 represent the positions of the FDTD source; position 2 means transmission along the $x\hat{y}$ direction. In Fig. 2, we present the results for the case of $f=60\%$ for both the perfect periodic case, as well as the waveguide case (source in position 1). Inside the gap of the periodic photonic crystal, the transmission coefficient of the waveguide is above 80% for almost all frequencies. Notice that there are two frequencies $\nu_1 = 0.321, \nu_2 = 0.377$ (units of $c/a$), where the transmission is low. We attribute these gaps in the transmission to MSBs, i.e., gaps in the dispersion relation. Notice also that as we increase the length of the waveguide, both drops of the MSBs get larger, as expected. On the other hand, for frequencies corresponding to a guided mode, the transmis-

FIG. 1. Triangular lattice of air holes in GaAs ($\epsilon = 11.56$). The missing holes yield a waveguide of width $w$ along the $\Gamma-K$ direction; $a$ is the lattice constant; 1 and 2 mark the positions of the source.
sion is independent of the length of the waveguide \[ f = 0.60 \]. Since the size of the PBG and the width \( w \) are related to \( f \), we have addressed the spectral behavior of the transmission for different values of \( f \).

In Fig. 3, we plot the width of the gap for the periodic PC, as well as the frequencies \( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \) of the two MSBs versus \( f \). From Fig. 3, one sees that for small \( f \), there is no MSB inside the gap of the periodic system. This is the reason that no MSBs were seen in previous studies \[ 14-16 \] of single-defect PC waveguides. Notice that for large \( f \), more than two MSBs are seen. These additional MSBs present a dispersion with \( f \) similar to the MSBs found for \( f \approx 0.60 \).

To understand the character of the MSBs, we plot in Fig. 4 the intensity of the electric field \( |E|^2 \) at the midgap frequency for the low- and high-frequency MSB for the case \( f = 0.60 \). In Fig. 4(a), the profile extends all over the waveguide and it is a “Bloch-like” wave, similar to the pattern of the fundamental guided mode. However, it slowly decays as the field propagates through the waveguide. Notice that the PC waveguide is a 1D periodic system with a unit cell of length \( a \), whose reduced Brillouin zone is \( (0, \pi/a) \). The modulated dielectric constant of the PC, in addition to the PBG confinement, entails also a periodic potential, which shall couple guided modes satisfying the Bragg condition.

Returning to Fig. 4(a), if one counts the nodes in the field pattern along \( \hat{x} \), it is found that the wavelength is roughly equal to \( a \); i.e., the unfolded wave-vector \( k \) is \( 2\pi/a \), corresponding to \( k = 0 \) in the first Brillouin zone. At \( k = 0 \), the periodic potential couples the two counterpropagating fundamental modes \( (k = \pm 2\pi/a) \), which would be otherwise degenerate. Therefore, with Fig. 2 and Fig. 4(a) in mind, we recognize this MSB as the gap in the folded fundamental guided mode for \( k = 0 \).

The profile shown in Fig. 4(b) is completely different than that shown in Fig. 4(a). The electric field is now strongly localized and has nodes along \( \hat{y} \). Above the frequency of the MSB, the field pattern returns to be that of the fundamental guided mode. This suggests the existence of another gap in the dispersion relation of the fundamental mode. According to Fig. 4, the origin of the high-frequency MSB cannot have
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**FIG. 2.** Transmission spectra for various lengths of the waveguide, with \( f = 0.60 \). The bold solid (dotted) line delimits the \( \Gamma-K (\Gamma-M) \) PBG of the bulk PC. The solid, dotted, and dashed lines correspond to the transmission along the waveguide (source in position 1) with length 10\( a \), 30\( a \), and 60\( a \), respectively.
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**FIG. 3.** Position of the MSBs vs \( f \). MSB-1 (MSB-2) is for the type low-frequency (high-frequency) MSB of Fig. 2. The solid lines give the width of the TE gap for different \( f \).
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**FIG. 4.** Normalized intensity of the electric field for the frequencies corresponding to the (top panel) low-frequency MSB and (bottom panel) high-frequency MSB of Fig. 2.
FIG. 5. Transmission spectra for the 1D cavity (solid line) and the waveguide (dotted line), with $f=60\%$ and length $10a$. For the 1D cavity it is intended that the transmission is calculated along the $\hat{y}$ direction, with the source in position 2, see Fig. 1.

The PC waveguide of Fig. 1 can be seen as a 1D cavity when the source is in position 2, see Fig. 1, and the propagation is along the $\hat{y}$ direction. We have calculated the transmission coefficient for such 1D cavity and show the results in Fig. 5. As one can clearly see, there are two peaks in the transmission, which correspond to two cavity modes. The high frequency peak is very close to the MSB; the low-frequency peak does not align with any MSB. Looking at the field patterns of the cavity modes, we indeed find that the high-frequency peak has the same symmetry and the same number of nodes as the field for the MSB at $\nu_2$. We infer that the MSB stems from the anticrossing between the fundamental mode and a higher mode with a cut-off frequency given by the corresponding cavity mode. The low-frequency cavity mode is odd with respect to the $\hat{x}$ axis; for the PC waveguide of Fig. 1 the odd modes cannot couple to even modes [14,15]. This is the reason for the absence of a MSB close to the ‘odd’ resonant frequency.

To give more insight of the MSBs, we have also calculated the band structure for the even TE modes of the waveguide shown in Fig. 1, with $f=60\%$. The results are plotted in Fig. 6. The horizontal axis is the wave vector in the direction of the guide, and the band structure is presented in the reduced Brillouin zone scheme. The gray areas are the projections of every mode in the band structure of the perfect 2D crystal. The bold solid lines correspond to TE guided modes even with respect to the waveguide’s axis.

FIG. 6. Dispersion relation for the PC waveguide, with $f=60\%$. The gray area is the projected band structure of the perfect 2D crystal. The bold solid lines correspond to TE guided modes even with respect to the waveguide’s axis.

from the previous field analysis. The high frequency MSB is coming from the anticrossing between the fundamental and the higher-order mode. This guided mode has a cut-off frequency $\nu_c=0.378$ and a small negative dispersion.

The weak dependence of the low-frequency MSB on $f$, shown in Fig. 3, relies on the fact that the fundamental mode is almost concentrated in the dielectric channel. Therefore, its dispersion relation feels the filling factor only through the width $w$; likewise is for the position of the low-frequency MSB. On the other hand, the higher modes are more extended in the PC region and their dispersion relation will be more sensitive to the filling ratio. The high-frequency MSB, which is an anticrossing between two dispersion relations (fundamental mode-higher mode) will change its position according to the higher mode, which encounters the fundamental mode at higher frequencies as the filling ratio is increased. In other words, the fundamental mode is guided by the high index path, as for a dielectric waveguide, and the PBG is not important. On the contrary, the higher guided mode does exist because the field confinement is provided by the PBG.

We have undertaken dispersion relation calculations for values of $f$, where more than two MSBs exist. The higher-frequency MSB-1, see Fig. 3, is a band splitting of the fundamental mode at $k=\pi/a$, whereas the higher-frequency MSB-2 is not a neat MSB, but a more complicated coupling among the guided modes and the photonic bands at the bulk gap’s edge. Moreover, from transmission studies, we have noticed a similar behavior for the first and third MSBs, and for the second and fourth MSBs, respectively.

We have observed that the transmission through single-defect PC waveguides has very small values in narrow frequency regions, the so-called MSBs. There are two types of MSBs and they are due to the 1D periodic potential of the PC along the waveguide. One MSB comes from the splitting of the folded fundamental guided mode at the zone edge of the reduced Brillouin zone; the other one comes from the anticrossing between the fundamental mode and the higher-
order mode. The fundamental mode is sustained by a index guiding mechanism, whereas the higher modes are distinctive of PC waveguides and they are confined by the PBG. This difference is found in the dependence of the MSBs’ position on the filling ratio as well as in the dispersion relation.
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[19] Because of the coupling efficiency of the incident and outgoing waves with the guided mode, the peak transmission could result less than one. To improve the coupling, we use a source with a spatial profile close to that of the fundamental guided mode of the PC waveguide. The fact that the transmission is independent of the length of the waveguide confirms that “losses” are not intrinsic and have to be referred to the non-optimal coupling efficiency.