stress parallel to the Burgers vector in the slip plane but by other stress components. Thus, the deformation behavior of materials with nonplanar dislocation cores may be very complex, often displaying unusual orientation dependencies and breakdown of the Schmid law. The nonplanar dislocation cores are the more common the more complex is the crystal structure, and thus these cores are more prevalent than planar cores. In this respect, f.c.c. materials (and also some hexagonal close-packed (h.c.p.) materials with basal slip) in which the dislocations possess planar cores and, consequently, the Peierls stress is very low, are a special case rather than a prototype for more complex structures.

Additional complex features of the dislocation core structures arise in covalent crystals where the breaking and/or readjustment of the bonds in the core region may be responsible for a high lattice friction stress, and in ionic solids where the cores can be charged which then strongly affects the dislocation mobility. Such dislocation cores affect not only the plastic behavior but also electronic and/or optical properties of covalently bonded semiconductors and ionically bonded ceramic materials.

See also: Mechanical Properties: Anelasticity; Mechanical Properties: Creep; Mechanical Properties: Elastic Behavior; Mechanical Properties: Fatigue; Mechanical Properties: Plastic Behavior; Mechanical Properties: Strengthening Mechanisms in Metals; Mechanical Properties: Tensile Properties; Periodicity and Lattices; Recovery, Recrystallization, and Grain Growth; Thin Films, Mechanical Behavior of.
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**Introduction**

A plane electronic wave, $\exp(i k \cdot r)$, impinging upon a local potential, $v(r-r_n)$, centered at $r_n$, is scattered, thus giving rise to a spherical wave of the form $f(\theta, \phi)\exp(i k \cdot r) / r$ as $r \to \infty$. The scattering amplitude, $f$, is related to the differential $d\sigma / d\Omega = |f(\theta, \phi)|^2$, and the total scattering cross section, $\sigma$. The total scattering cross section, $\sigma = \int |f|^2 d\Omega$, gives essentially the part of the area of the incoming wave front intercepted by the scattering potential. For transport properties, the relevant cross section is $\sigma_t = \int (1 - \cos \theta) |f|^2 d\Omega$.

An electron propagating within a solid or liquid is subject to many local scattering potentials stemming from the atoms comprising the solid or liquid, and giving rise to a total scattering potential $V(r) = \sum_n v(|r-r_n|)$. The response of electrons to $V(r)$ is of central importance in understanding and controlling the mechanical, electrical, magnetic, and optical properties of materials.

Identical and periodically placed scatterers allow a systematic constructive interference of the scattered waves, which to a great extent, compensates the effects of the scattering. As a result, a periodic potential, $V(r)$, produces plane-wave-like solutions, called Bloch waves, of the form $u_k(r)\exp[i(k \cdot r - \omega_k t)]$, associated with bands of allowed values of $\omega_k$; $u_k$ is a periodic function of $r$ with the same period as $V(r)$. Gaps in the spectrum also appear because of systematic destructive interference (another effect of
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periodicity) and/or well-separated atomic or molec-
ular levels giving rise to nonoverlapping bands.

“In classical” Treatment of Disorder
In actual solids, there are always static, chemical
and, structural deviations from periodicity, located
randomly within the solid; in other words, there is
always disorder. The thermal vibrations of atoms (or
ions), although dynamical in nature, can be treated
for fast processes and high temperatures as addi-
tional in nature, can be treated for fast processes
and high temperatures as additional sources of
static disorder. However, at low temperatures,
lattice vibrations and other dynamical
processes lead to inelastic scatterings, which are
considered up to now; these inelastic scatterings are
responsible for an energy uncertainty, \( \Delta E \), which
in turn defines an inelastic dephasing time \( \tau_\phi \sim h/\Delta E \); for \( t \geq \tau_\phi \), the
phase is randomized.

Disorder is crucial in determining important trans-
port properties, such as electrical and thermal con-
ductivities, magnetoresistances, photoconductivities,
and metal–insulator transitions. Under normal condi-
tions, the main role of disorder is to change the
propagation of electrons (or more generally, of what-
ever carriers) from ballistic to diffusive; the latter
involves a new characteristic quantity, the so-called
transport mean free path, \( l \), which is connected
to the diffusion length \( L_D = (Dt)^{1/2} \) by the relation
\( L_D = l/\sqrt{d} \). \( D \) is the diffusion coefficient,
\( \tau = l/v \), \( v \) is the carrier velocity, and \( d \) is the
space dimensionality. The transport mean free path,
for low concentration, \( n_s = N_s/V \), of scatterers is
related to the transport cross section \( \sigma_s \) of
scatterers by the relation

\[
\frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{i=1}^{N_s} \sigma_s
\]

which means that over a length \( L \), the \( N_s \) scatterers
within the volume \( V = Sl \) will intercept the whole
cross section, \( S \), of the incoming wave (i.e., \( S = \sum_s \sigma_s \)).

The summation of \( \sigma_s \) in eqn [1] implies that
interference effects have been omitted; thus the term
“classical” in the title of this subsection. Actually, a
scattered wave from one scatterer can be scattered
again by other scatterers; this is especially true when
the \( \sigma_s \) are large and their concentration, \( n_s \), is high.
All these single and multiple scattered waves can, in
principle, interfere with one another, possibly modi-
fying the basic result in eqn [1]. The argument in
support of eqn [1] is that constructive and destruc-
tive interference more or less cancel each other as a
result of the interfering waves having random phase
differences (associated with the random positions
and phase shifts of the scatterers).

Weak Disorder and the Role of Interferences
Consider an electron initially \( (t = 0) \) at the point \( r \). The
probability density amplitude, \( A(t) \), to again find
the electron at \( r \) after time \( t \), is given by
\( A(t) = \sum_r A_r(t) \), where the sum is over all
directed path integrals, \( A_r = \exp \left( i/h \int_0^t L(r, \dot{r}(t')) dt' \right) \), starting and
ending at \( r \). The probability density, \( dP(t)/d^3r \), to find
the electron at \( r \) after time \( t \) is \( dP/d^3r = |A(t)|^2 = \sum_r |A_r(t)|^2 + \sum_{r \neq m} A_r(t)A_m^*(t) \). Omitting the last
double sum on the basis of random phases is
equivalent to the approximation leading to eqn [1].
However, not all terms with \( \mu \neq v \) have random
phases; indeed, for each path \( \mu = \bar{r} \), where \( \bar{r} \) is the same
as path \( v \) but run in the opposite direction, \( A_\mu = A_v \)
(the last equality stems from time-reversal sym-
metry), and consequently \( \sum_{r \neq \mu} A_r A_\mu^* = \sum_r A_r A_\mu^* + \sum_{\mu \neq \nu \neq \bar{r}} A_\mu A_\nu^* A_{\bar{r}}^* \). Thus, making the reasonable
assumption that \( \sum_{\mu \neq \nu \neq \bar{r}} A_\mu A_\nu^* A_{\bar{r}}^* \) is really zero because of random
phases, one may come to the conclusion that
\( dP/d^3r \) is twice as big as the classical diffusion
would predict, since \( \sum_r A_r A_\mu^* = \sum_r |A_r|^2 \). Actually, \( dP(t)/d^3r \) is less than twice as large because the equality
\( A_\mu = A_v \) is not valid for very long paths, whose
length far exceeds the inelastic dephasing length
\( L_\phi \equiv (D\tau_\phi)^{1/2} \); for such long paths, repeated inel-
astic scattering destroys the phase equality of \( A_\mu \)
and \( A_v \). In any case, the conclusion is that interference
effects make quantum diffusion slower than classical
diffusion, and lead to a decrease of the diffusion
coefficient and the electrical conductivity. This
decrease is proportional to the integral \( \int dt \sum_r A_r A_{\mu r} \).

The latter can be estimated by taking into account
that the paths which contribute are inside a tube of
section \( \lambda^2 \) (or \( \lambda^{-1} \) for a \( d \)-dimensional system,
where \( \lambda \) is the wavelength) around the classical tra-
jectory. Furthermore, the probability of returning is
directly related to the probability of self-intersection
of this orbital tube. Within time \( dt \), the wave would
move by \( ud \) and would sweep a volume \( dV = \lambda^2 - 1 \)
\( u \); hence, the probability of self-intersection
during the time interval \( dt \), after time \( t \) has elapsed, is
equal to the ratio of the volume \( dV = \lambda^2 - 1 \)
over the total volume swept up to \( t \); the latter is of the
order of \( L_D^2 = (Dt)^{1/2} \). Hence, the probability of self-
intersection at any time between \( t = 0 \) and \( t = \tau_\phi \) is
proportional to \( \int_0^t d\tau \lambda^2 - 1 \). The elastic
collision time, \( \tau = l/v \), has been set as the lower limit
because, for \( t \ll \tau \), the motion is ballistic and
the probability of self-intersection is zero; the inelastic
dephasing time \( \tau_\phi \) is the upper limit since for \( t \geq \tau_\phi \).
the phase equality of \( A_x \) and \( A_y \) is lost. Notice that at high temperatures, where \( \tau_\phi \approx \tau \), the quantum corrections are negligible. On the other hand, for low temperatures, where \( \tau_\phi \gg \tau \), there is an appreciable increase in the probability, \( p \), of returning to the initial point and consequently an appreciable correction, \( \delta \sigma = \sigma - \sigma_0 \), to the classical conductivity, \( \sigma_0 \), as a result of interference effects:

\[
\delta \sigma \sim - p \sim - \left( \frac{\tau_\phi}{\tau} \right)^{1/2} \approx - \left( \frac{\tau_\phi}{\tau} \right)^{1/2}
\]

\[
= - \frac{L_\phi}{L_D} \quad (1D \text{ systems}) \tag{2a}
\]

\[
\delta \sigma \sim - p \sim - \frac{j}{L_D} \ln \left( \frac{\tau_\phi}{\tau} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{2j}{L_D} \ln \left( \frac{L_\phi}{L_D} \right) \quad (2D \text{ systems}) \tag{2b}
\]

\[
\delta \sigma \sim - p \sim - \frac{j^2}{L_D^2} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{\tau}{\tau_\phi} \right)^{1/2} \right]
\]

\[
= - \frac{j^2}{L_D^2} \left( 1 - \frac{L_D}{L_\phi} \right) \approx 0 \quad (3D \text{ systems}) \tag{2c}
\]

The above formulas are valid when the disorder is weak, so that \( \delta \sigma \) is considerably smaller than \( \sigma_0 \), since otherwise, one could end up with negative conductivity \( \sigma = \sigma_0 + \delta \sigma \). The condition \( |\delta \sigma| \ll \sigma_0 \) implies that \( j \ll L_D \), which in turn means that under the present conditions, \( \delta \sigma \) is negligible for 3D systems. On the other hand, for 1D and 2D systems, \( \delta \sigma \) can become appreciable when \( L_\phi \gg L_D \); furthermore, since \( \tau_\phi \) is proportional to \( T^{-1} \) for 2D and \( T^{-2/3} \) for 1D systems, and for very low temperatures, \( T \), it follows that \( \sigma \) for \( d = 1 \) and \( d = 2 \) decreases with decreasing \( T \). Such a \( T \)-dependence suggests an insulating behavior as \( T \to 0K \), and consequently, the nonexistence of one- and two-dimensional metallic behavior. In other words, the possibility is raised that in 1D and 2D systems, as \( L_\phi \to \infty \), the conductivity may become zero, no matter how weak the disorder is. The possibility of \( \sigma \to 0 \) as \( L_\phi \to \infty \) may be realized even in 3D systems when the disorder is strong enough, so that \( j \gtrsim L_D \). This question is taken up again in the next subsection, in which formulas \[2a\]–\[2c\] are generalized to the strong disorder case.

This subsection is concluded by pointing out that the presence of a magnetic field, \( B \), breaks the time-reversal symmetry, and as a result, breaks the equality \( A_x = A_y \), and consequently, reduces the magnitude of \( \delta \sigma \), and thus, tends to restore the classical behavior. Actually, the magnetic field adds a term \( qA \cdot v \) to the Lagrangian, where \( q \) is the charge of carriers (\( -e \) for electrons), and \( A \) is the vector potential such that \( B = \nabla \times A \). So the closed path integrals, \( A_x, A_y \), in the presence of \( B \), acquire an extra phase, that is \( A_x = A_x + \phi \), \( A_y = A_y + \phi \), \( \phi = \Phi \phi / h \), and \( \Phi \) is the magnetic flux through the closed path. As a result \( A_x A_y^* + A_y A_x^* = 2|A_0|^2 \cos(2\phi) \), instead of \( 2|A_0|^2 \) as in the case \( B = 0 \). This implies a periodic variation of the conductance as a function of the applied magnetic field if \( \Phi \) is a constant independent of \( \nu \). Indeed, this phenomenon has been observed in cylindrical tubes of cross section \( S \) with very thin walls, and with the magnetic field parallel to the axis of the tube. The configuration is such that \( \Phi \nu = nBS \), where \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \). Because \( L_\phi^2 \) is not much larger than \( S \), the dominant contribution comes from \( n = 1 \) and the conductance, \( G \), varies as \( \delta G \sim \cos(2eBS/h) \); this is the so-called \( h/2e \) Aharonov–Bohm effect. In ring configurations, such as in Figure 1c, where the conduction paths are between diametrically opposite points, the variation of the conductance, \( \delta G \), is proportional to \( \cos(eBS/h) \); this is the so-called \( h/e \) Aharonov–Bohm effect. Notice that the Aharonov–Bohm effects can provide an operational definition of the length \( L_\phi \), since for lengths \( L \gtrsim L_\phi \), the characteristic conductance oscillations gradually disappear. In thin wires, such as in Figures 1d and 1e, the oscillatory magnetic field dependence of the conductance is more complicated as shown in Figure 2. It must be pointed out that these oscillations are reproducible (for the same system) and that their size is universal and \( \sim e^2 / \pi h \). Furthermore, the conductance in the system of Figure 1d is different from that in the system of Figure 1e, in spite of the classical current carrying paths being identical in the two configurations; this shows the wave nature of electronic propagation, the non-negligible role of interference effects associated with the existence of the ring in Figure 1e, and the nonlocal nature of the current–electric field relation.

**Disorder, Density of States, and Wave Functions**

**Three-Dimensional systems**

In Figure 3a, the density of states (DOS) of a band associated with the \( s \)-level of identical atoms placed periodically in a simple cubic lattice is shown; the bandwidth is \( 12|V_2| \), where \( V_2 \) is the matrix element transferring electrons between two neighboring atoms. Disorder is introduced (Figures 3b–3d) by assuming that the \( s \)-levels of atoms are independent random variables possessing a Gaussian distribution of standard deviation \( \sigma \). The effects of the disorder are the following: (1) The analytical singularities
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shown in Figure 3a disappear. (2) The bandwidth increases as the band edges $\pm E_B^{(0)}$ move to new positions $\pm E_B$, where $E_B - E_B^{(0)} = c_1 \omega^2 / |V_2|$ ($c_1 \approx 0.25$ in the present case). (3) Besides this widening of the band, tails in the DOS, $\rho(E)$, appear which are of exponential nature, $\rho(E) \sim \exp(-|E|/E_0)$ for $E_B \leq |E|$, where $E_0 = c_2 \omega^2 / |V_2|$ ($c_2 \approx 0.12$ in the present case); these tails give rise to tails in the optical absorption of semiconductors for $\hbar \omega$ less than the gap (Urbach tails). (4) Two characteristic energies, $\pm E_c$, termed mobility edges, appear at which the nature of the eigenstates changes from propagating (or extended) to nonpropagating (or localized, shaded regions in Figures 3b–3d). The localized eigenstates are trapped either around a cluster of atoms or around a single atom whose $s$-energy level is much different than the average. For low disorder ($|w| \leq 2.5 |V_2|$), the mobility edges follow the band edges closely, $|E_B| - |E_c| \sim w^4 / |V_2|^3$. As the disorder increases, the mobility edges start moving toward the center of the band, and eventually they merge together making all eigenstates of the band localized; this is known as the Anderson transition (in the present case, this transition occurs when $w \approx 6.2 |V_2|$).

For weak disorders (e.g., Figure 3b), the states near the center of the band are quasi-Bloch characterized by the band index, $j$, the wave vector, $\mathbf{k}$, and the mean free path, which is connected to the phase $\phi(r)$ of the eigenfunction through the relation $\langle \exp[\phi(r) - \phi(0)] \rangle = \exp(-r/2l)$, where the symbol $\langle \rangle$ denotes the average value over all random variables. The mean free path $l$ satisfies eqn [1] with $\sigma_l$ instead of $\sigma_a$. As one moves from the center of the band toward the mobility edges, the amplitude of the eigenstates develops ever increasing fluctuations, both in magnitude and spatial extent up to a maximum length, $\xi$; for distances, $r$, such that $a \ll r \leq \xi$, the eigenfunctions exhibit a statistically self-similar, fractal behavior ($a$ is the lattice spacing). As $|E| \rightarrow |E_c|$, $\xi$ blows up, $\xi \sim A / (|E_c| - |E|)^{\gamma}$, where $\gamma$ has been estimated to be 1.58 (in the absence of a magnetic field) and 1.43 (in the presence of a magnetic field). On the localized sides of the spectrum, the eigenfunctions, $\psi(r)$, decay exponentially on the average, that is, $\langle |\psi(r)|^2 \rangle \sim \exp(-r/L_c)$, as $r \rightarrow \infty$, where $\langle |\psi(r)|^2 \rangle \equiv \exp(-\ln(\psi)^2)$, and $L_c$ is the so-called localization length. For distances, $r$, such that $a \ll r \leq L_c$, the eigenfunctions exhibit fluctuations of statistically self-similar, fractal nature. As $|E| \rightarrow |E_c|$, $L_c$ blows up: $L_c \rightarrow A^2 / (|E| - |E_c|)^2$.

The contribution of a band to the conductivity, $\sigma(T)$, is given by $\sigma(T) = \int dE e\sigma(E,T)(-\partial f / \partial E)$, where $f(E)$ is the Fermi–Dirac distribution, $\sigma(E,T)$ is proportional to the DOS $\rho(E)$ and the mobility $\mu(E)$ at $E$. The conductivity $\sigma(E,T)$ for a finite cube of length $L$ at $T = 0$ K is given by

$$\sigma(E,0) = \frac{e^2}{h} \left( \frac{0.066}{\xi} + A_1 \frac{1}{L} \right)$$

for $E$ in the extended region ($A_1$ is $\sim 0.05$), $\sigma(E,0) \sim \exp(-2L/L_c)$ in the localized regime, and for $L_c \ll L$. Actually, $L_c$, besides the length of the specimen, can be of any number of upper cut-off lengths such as $L_\phi$ or $R_b = (hc/eB)^{1/2}$, the cyclotron
radius in the presence of a magnetic field, or \( L_\omega = (D/\omega)^{1/2} \), the diffusion length in the presence of an AC field of frequency \( \omega \), etc. In the presence of all these upper cut-off lengths, \( L \) in the above formulas should be replaced by an effective length, \( \tilde{L} \), probably of the form \( \tilde{L}^{-2} = c_1 L^{-2} + c_2 L_\phi^{-2} + c_3 L_\omega^{-2} + c_4 R_B^{-2} + \cdots \), where the weights \( c_i \) are of the order of unity.

**Two-Dimensional Systems**

2D systems, such as very thin films or electrons trapped at the interface of Si/SiO\(_2\) or GaAs/Al\(_x\)Ga\(_{1-x}\)As,

---

**Figure 2** Electrical conductance vs. applied magnetic field for the configuration of Figure 1d (left) and for that of Figure 1e (right). (Reproduced with permission from Webb RA and Washburn S (1988) Quantum interference fluctuations in disordered metals. Physics Today 41: 46; © American Institute of Physics.)

**Figure 3** The density of states (DOS) of a band associated with the s-level of atoms placed periodically in a simple cubic lattice. The s-level of each atom is an independent random variable of Gaussian probability distribution with zero-average value and standard deviation equal to \( w \), which goes from zero (case (a)) to 6.5|\( V_2 | \) (case (d)). The bandwidth at \( w = 0 \) is 12|\( V_2 | \). Shaded regions correspond to localized eigenstates.
are borderline as far as localization properties are concerned. In the absence of magnetic forces, all eigenstates are localized, no matter how weak the disorder is (exceptions do exist). In the presence of magnetic forces, the situation is qualitatively similar to that shown in Figure 3b–3d with an extremely narrow region of extended states at the center of the band. This picture has been employed to interpret the so-called integral quantum hall effect (IQHE), where as the Fermi level moves in the localized regime, the Hall resistance does not change until it enters the extended regime and a rather abrupt step is exhibited.

In the absence of magnetic forces, the localization length at \( E \) is related to the mean free path \( l(E) : L_c(E) \approx 2.7l(E)\exp[S(E)/l(E)/4] \), where \( S(E) \) is the length of the line in \( k \)-space satisfying the equation \( E_k = E \). The conductivity \( \sigma(E,0) \) is given by an approximate interpolation formula involving \( L \) and \( L_c \) as well as a length \( r_0 \approx \epsilon l \). In the limit \( L \ll L_c \),

\[
\sigma \approx \sigma_0 - \frac{e^2}{\pi^2h} \ln \frac{L}{r_0}
\]

while for \( L \ll L_c \), \( \sigma = \left( 2\sigma_0 L/L_c \right) \exp(-2L/L_c) \). Equation [4] is similar to eqn [2b] and is known as a weak localization correction. For \( L \approx R_w \), eqn [4] gives \( \Delta \sigma = \sigma(B) - \sigma(0) = (e^2/2\pi^2h)\ln[1 + (eL_B^2/1.78h)c] \), that is a negative magnetoresistance, \( \Delta \sigma/\sigma \approx -\Delta \sigma/\sigma_c \), as shown in Figure 4. For \( L \approx L_0 \sim T^{-1/2} \), the above formulas for the conductivity show that \( \sigma \to 0 \) as \( T \to 0 \) K. Notice, however, that electron–electron interactions produce a similar temperature dependence. Nevertheless, recent experiments at very low temperatures, in very clean interfaces GaAs/Al\(_x\)Ga\(_{1-x}\)As or Si/SiO\(_2\), have shown that \( \sigma(T) \) stops decreasing and starts increasing with decreasing temperatures.

### One-Dimensional and Quasi-One-Dimensional Systems

For 1D systems, such as the one shown in Figure 1b, all eigenstates are localized, no matter how small the disorder is (exceptions do exist); the localization length is proportional to the mean free path, \( L_c = cl \), where the coefficient \( c \) equals 4 for not-so-strong a disorder. The conductivity, \( \sigma \), is given by \( \sigma = (e^2L/\pi h)/(\exp(2L/L_c) - 1) \). Thus in the limit \( L \ll L_c \), \( \sigma = (e^2L_c/2\pi h) - (e^2L/2\pi h) \); the first term gives the classical result \( \sigma = 2e^2/\pi h \), since \( L_c = 4l \), while the second is linear in \( L \) as in eqn [2a]. Taking into account that the conductance \( G \) in 1D equals to \( \sigma /L \) and that the geometrically averaged transmission coefficient, \( T \), equals to \( \exp(-2L/L_c) \), the formula for \( \sigma \) can be recast as \( G = (e^2/\pi h)T/(1 - T) \). However, a direct calculation of \( G \) gives \( G = (e^2/\pi h)T \). This discrepancy is due to the fact that the first formula gives the conductance of the wire per se without taking into account the two contact resistances \( 2R_c = \pi h/e^2 \), and it requires a four-probe measurement for its determination; on the contrary, the result \( G = (e^2/\pi h)T \) is the outcome of a two-probe measurement and it includes the contact resistances, so \( 1/G = 2R_c + R_w = (\pi h/e^2) + (\pi h/e^2)(1 - T)/T \). In the presence of \( M \) coupled parallel to 1D channels in the limit where \( L \ll l \) and \( T \approx 1 \), the two-probe measurement will give \( G = (e^2/\pi h)M \) in agreement with the experimental results in Figure 5.

The importance of the above formulas lies in their

---

**Figure 4** Experimental data (solid squares) and theoretical results (continuous lines) for the relative magnetoresistance \( -\Delta R/R \) in a two-dimensional sample of electronic concentration \( n = 1.6 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) and mobility \( \mu = 27 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \). (Reproduced from Choi KK et al. (1987) Dephasing time and one-dimensional localization of two-dimensional electrons in GaAs/Al\(_x\)Ga\(_{1-x}\)As heterostructures. Physical Review B 36: 7751.)

**Figure 5** The electrical conductance of the configuration shown in Figure 1b vs. the gate voltage, \( V_g \). The step-like dependence on \( V_g \) according to the formula \( G = (e^2/\pi h)M, M = 1, 2, ... \), is shown. For \( V_g < -2 \), the 1D limit \( M = 1 \) has been reached. (Reproduced from van Wees BJ et al. (1988) Quantized conductance of point contacts in a two-dimensional electron gas. Physical Review Letters 60: 848.)
capability to be generalized to a multiterminal system; each terminal, \( i \), is in contact with a reservoir at electrochemical potential \( \mu_i = -|e|V_i \), and feeds the system with a net current \( I_i \)

\[
I_i = \frac{e^2}{\pi \hbar} \sum_j T_{i,j}(V_i - V_j) \tag{5}
\]

Equation (5) is very useful in treating many mesoscopic systems, such as quantum dots exhibiting the phenomenon Coulomb blockade.

**Justifying the Localization Picture**

Several theoretical/numerical methods have been employed to obtain the various localization quantities such as \( L_c, \xi, \sigma_c, \delta \sigma, \) etc.

**Level Statistics**

Localized eigenstates belonging to neighboring energy levels of a very large system are, in general, far away from one another and hence, they have negligible overlap and as a result, negligible level repulsion. On the contrary, extended eigenstates always overlap, and thus always exhibit level repulsion. Hence, the probability distribution of the separation of two consecutive energy levels (which can be obtained numerically) is quite different for localized and extended eigenstates. This difference is an efficient way for determining regions of localized and extended states.

**Transfer Matrix Techniques**

A transfer matrix, \( t_{ij} \), propagates the general solution of the wave equation from point \( x_i \) to point \( x_j \) along 1D and quasi-1D systems. By multiplying transfer matrices, \( t_{i,j} = t_{i,1}t_{2,3} \ldots t_{N,L} \), the solution at one end \( (x = 0) \) can be connected to the solution at the other end \( (x = L) \). For disordered quasi-1D systems, the eigenvalues of \( t_{0L} \) come in pairs \((\mu, \bar{\mu})\), such that \( \mu \approx \exp(L/L_{\xi}) \) and \( \bar{\mu} \approx \exp(-L/L_{\xi}) \) as \( L \to \infty \), where \( \pm L_{\xi}^{-1} \) are the so-called Lyapunov exponents. The longest \( L_{\xi} \), \( L_{\xi} \), determines the transmission coefficient, \( T \), of the quasi-1D system of length \( L \) as \( T = -2L/L_{\xi} \). By considering quasi-1D strips of \( M \) coupled channels arranged in a plane, or wires of square cross section with \( M^2 \) channels, \( L_c(M) \) can be determined numerically; by employing a scaling property, the limit \( M \to \infty \) can be taken, which determines \( L_c \) for the 2D case \( (L_c = \lim L_c(M) as M \to \infty) \), and both \( L_c \) (when \( L_c(M) \) converges as \( M \to \infty \)) and \( \xi \) (when \( L_c(M) \approx M^2/\xi \)) for the 3D case.

**Scaling Approach**

In the model considered in Figure 3, the only relevant parameter is the dimensionless ratio \( w/|V_2| \). Then a cluster of \( p \) neighboring atoms of length \( L_1 = p^{1/d}a \) (where \( a \) is the lattice spacing) is examined and one may attempt to define a single dimensionless ratio, \( Q_1 \), which would play for the cluster the same role as \( w/|V_2| \) plays for each atom. By repeating the rescaling \( n \) times, where \( n \) eventually approaches infinity, one finds that \( Q_n = (\hbar/e^2)G \), where \( G \) is the conductance of a \( d \)-dimensional “cube” of linear dimension \( L = p^{d/a}a \). Since \( Q_n = Q(L) \) is the only relevant parameter, the occurrence or not of localization depends on whether \( Q(L) \) tends to infinity or to zero respectively, as \( L \to \infty \). To find this limit, the logarithmic derivative \( \beta = \ln Q/\ln L \), which depends on the parameter \( Q \), is defined. In the limit \( Q \to \infty \), the classical behavior is approached, which gives that \( Q \sim G \sim L^{d-2} \); hence \( \beta \to d - 2 \), that is, negative for \( d < 2 \) and positive for \( d > 2 \). Assuming that \( \beta \) is a monotonically increasing function of \( Q \), one can conclude that in the limit \( L \to \infty \), \( G \to 0 \) for \( d < 2 \). For \( d > 2 \), \( G \to \infty \) as \( L \to \infty \) (in the region \( \beta > 0 \)), and \( G \to 0 \) as \( L \to \infty \) (in the region \( \beta < 0 \)).

**Potential Well Analogy**

More sophisticated approximate analytical approaches for the calculation of \( \sigma \) permit one, under certain conditions, to map the localization question to that of an effective \( d \)-dimensional potential well (in the absence of magnetic forces) of depth \( V_0(E) \sim \sigma_0(E) \frac{1}{1 |E|^2} \) and linear extent \( a \sim l(E) \). If this effective potential well sustains a bound state of decay length \( L_c(E) \), then the states at \( E \) are localized with localization length equal to \( L_c(E) \). If the effective potential well exhibits a resonance in the cross section at \( E_c(E) \), then the eigenstates at \( E \) are extended with a length \( \xi \) given by \( E_c(E) = \hbar^2/2m^{\xi^2}(E), \xi \approx l \). Using the fact that a potential well, no matter how shallow, always sustains a bound state for \( d \leq 2 \), while it must exceed a critical value of \( V_0a^2 \) to sustain a bound state for \( d = 3 \), the basic picture presented before is recaptured, before including the expressions for the localization length in 1D and 2D systems. Furthermore, by employing the critical value of \( V_0a^2 \), one finds that localized states appear in 3D systems, when the product \( \hbar a \leq 0.85 \) or \( l/a \leq 0.14 \); this is the so-called Ioffe-Regel criterion.

**Classical Wave Localization**

In addition to the work of electronic localization presented above, the question of classical localization has received attention. This interest is due partly to the fact that classical waves, such as electromagnetic or acoustic/elastic, being subject to destructive
interference and hence to the possibility of localization, can serve as a model system for testing the theory of Anderson localization of electrons experimentally in a clean way, without the complication of strong inelastic scattering and other effects of electron–electron and electron–phonon interactions. On the other hand, it is harder to localize classical waves, mainly due to the fact that at low frequencies, the effects of disorder tend to be wiped out, whereas electrons at low energies are trapped very effectively, even by a weak random potential.

To see this, consider the simple scalar wave equation, \( \nabla^2 \psi + (\omega^2/c^2)\psi = 0 \), where the velocity, \( c(\mathbf{r}) \), in the medium varies from point to point between a maximum, \( c_M \), and a minimum, \( c_m \), value. Compare this equation with Schrödinger’s equation in the presence of a potential \( V(\mathbf{r}) \) which varies between \( V_M \) and \( V_m \). The two equations are mathematically equivalent if one makes the following correspondences:

\[
\frac{\omega^2}{c_M^2} \leftrightarrow \frac{2m}{h^2}(E - V_M) \quad [6a] \\
\frac{\omega^2}{c_M^2} \left( \frac{1}{c(r)^2} - \frac{1}{c(r)^2} \right) \leftrightarrow \frac{2m}{h^2}[V(r) - V_M] \quad [6b]
\]

Equation [6a] implies that classical waves correspond to electronic waves, but for energy above the maximum value of the potential, eqn [6b] shows that the scattering producing fluctuations in the classical wave case are multiplied by \( \omega^2 \), and thus are fully ineffective for low frequencies. The conclusion is that classical waves can only be localized, if at all, at intermediate frequencies. The analogies between the classical and quantum problems indeed lead to many cross fertilizations, since solutions obtained in one field can be carried over to the other. However, considerable care has to be exercised in transforming the results of the theory of localization of electrons to the case of classical waves. The most important difference is that for classical waves, the equivalent of the particle number is not conserved. The quantity conserved here is the energy, leading to a diffusion behavior of the energy density. Another difference is that the scattering potential is frequency dependent as shown in eqn [6]. As a consequence, the energy transport velocity entering the diffusion coefficient for a strongly disordered system may be appreciably renormalized, and, as a result, diffusion coefficients can be quite small even far from the localization transition. In particular, the low values experimentally obtained for the diffusion coefficient, \( D = \nu_E l/3 \), are caused by extremely small values of the energy transport velocity, \( \nu_E \), and not necessarily by the small values of \( l \), which would signify strong localization. It is well understood that low values of \( \nu_E \) for classical waves are due to the Mie resonances of the scatterers. Near resonances, a lot of energy is temporarily stored inside the dielectric scatterer or, equivalently, the wave spends a lot of time (dwell time) inside the dielectric scatterer.

The outstanding problem in classical wave localization is to find the optimal conditions for its realization. It has been suggested that an intermediate frequency window of localized states separates the low-frequency extended states, characterized by Rayleigh scattering, from the high-frequency extended states, described by geometric optics. Theories based on weak scattering limit and on the coherent potential approximation (CPA) predict frequency intervals within which localization should be observed. These predictions are based on extrapolation of results obtained in the weak disorder regime. Wiersma et al., in 1997, reported experiments at the near-infrared in GaAs powders, where the transmission coefficient, \( T \), was measured in the extended, critical, and localized regimes. In the critical regime, \( T \) should vary with the inverse of the square of the sample thickness and in the localized regime, it should vary exponentially with the thickness. F Scheffold et al., in 1999, argued that the results can be interpreted on the basis of the classical diffusion theory if absorption is included. One way to separate the effects of absorption and localization is to measure fluctuations of the transmission \( T \), in addition to more conventional transport properties. This can be done easier at microwave frequencies, where one can measure both the amplitude and the phase of the electric field.

To facilitate the solution of the classical wave localization problem, it was proposed to construct first a periodic medium which, hopefully, will produce a spectral gap, and then by a disordering process, to create tails of localized states in this gap. The first part of this proposal produced much more than what one was looking for initially: it led to the creation of the so-called photonic (and phononic) band gap (PBG) artificial materials, and to the emergence of a new technological field.

See also: Disordered Magnetic Systems; Disordered Solids and Glasses, Electronic Structure of.
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Introduction

Disordered magnetic systems, when defined widely, comprises the whole field of applied magnetic materials – ranging from the extremely soft permalloy to the hardest permanent magnet as well as the physics of model systems of strongly disordered magnetic materials, spin glasses, and random-field Ising systems.

Magnetic ordering requires that the electron system forms local or itinerant magnetic moments and that there is interaction between these moments. Such interacting magnetic moments will eventually, as the temperature is lowered, become correlated and in certain cases form a long-ranged ordered phase at a critical temperature. The simplest magnetic order in crystalline systems arises when the leading interaction is ferromagnetic and there is only one type of magnetic ions in the material. The low-temperature phase then shows a regular pattern with the magnetic moments all aligned in parallel. Magnetic disorder in an ideal system of this type is enforced by thermal energy, and above the critical ordering temperature, a random paramagnetic phase forms. However, intrinsic quenched disorder, due to lattice dislocations, impurities, and other defects, always appears in a real magnetic system, also in the magnetically ordered phase. This kind of disorder is the key to the macroscopic magnetic properties of an ordered ferromagnet. The disorder and the microstructure of the system then determine if a weakly anisotropic magnet becomes a good soft ferromagnet or if a strongly anisotropic system attains applicable permanent magnetic properties. It is thus disorder, on nano- and micrometer length scales, that governs the quality of applied magnetic materials, whether soft or hard.

Models

A random distribution of the atomic magnetic elements in alloys and compounds introduces strong disorder and deviations from a regular interaction pattern. The interaction between the magnetic constituents becomes random in size and, in some cases, also in sign. This causes the appearance of new magnetic phases and phenomena. An interacting magnetic Ising system can be described by its spin Hamiltonian

\[ H = - \sum_{ij} J_{ij} S_i S_j \]

where \( J_{ij} \) is the exchange interaction strength between spin \( S_i \) and spin \( S_j \); spins that in an Ising system point either up or down. A random-exchange ferromagnet is described if all \( J_{ij} \) are positive but of different magnitude, and a spin glass is described if the sign of \( J_{ij} \) varies but the mean value is zero. Equation [1] can be used to describe systems of any dimensionality and any range of interaction. Another important class of disordered magnetic Ising systems is the random field system

\[ H = - \sum_{ij} J_{ij} S_i S_j + \sum_i h_i S_i \]

where \( J_{ij} \) are positive interaction constants and \( h_i \) is a local field of random size and sign with zero mean that acts on spin number \( S_i \). This system does not have an experimental realization, but a dilute Ising antiferromagnet in an applied homogeneous magnetic field has been shown to describe an equivalent problem:

\[ H = - \sum_{ij} J_{ij} S_i S_j + H_0 \sum_i S_i \]